

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BRAD LEE PRUETT,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
vs.)	CIV-11-1361-F
)	
JUSTIN JONES, et al.,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

With his Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254, Petitioner has filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and supporting affidavit. Having reviewed said motion and affidavit, the undersigned finds that Petitioner has sufficient financial resources to pay the \$5.00 filing fee. Because he does not qualify for authorization to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee, Petitioner's motion should be denied, and he should be required to pay the full filing fee for this action to proceed.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing findings, it is recommended that the motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. #2) be DENIED and the action be dismissed without

prejudice unless Petitioner pays the full filing fee to the Clerk of the Court by December 6th, 2011. Petitioner is advised of his right to file an objection to this Report and Recommendation with the Clerk of this Court by December 6th, 2011, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and LCvR 72.1. The failure to timely object to this Report and Recommendation would waive appellate review of the recommended ruling. Moore v. United States of America, 950 F.2d 656 (10th Cir. 1991); cf. Marshall v. Chater, 75 F.3d 1421, 1426 (10th Cir. 1996) (“Issues raised for the first time in objections to the magistrate judge’s recommendation are deemed waived.”).

This Report and Recommendation disposes of all issues referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge in the captioned matter.

ENTERED this 16th day of November, 2011.


GARY M. PURCELL
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE